materials, and construction activities. EPA requested additional information on these issues be included in the Final EIS.

ERP No. D-NPS-K65187-CA Rating EC2, Santa Rosa Island Resources Management Plan, Improvements of Water Quality and Conservation of Rare Species and their Habitats, Channel Islands National Park, Santa Barbara County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential impacts associated with the weed and road management programs. EPA requested that the FEIS demonstrate consistency with the applicable Basin Plan, and encouraged Park Service to modify the preferred alternative to include more environmental protection features found in the Conservation Team Recommendations Alternative.

## Regulations

ERP No. RR-DOA-A90083-00, 7 CFR Part 12—Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Conservation.

Summary: EPA commented that the interim final rule raised a number of issues that may affect implementation of the Clean Water Action Section 404 regulatory program. Rather than proposing specific revisions to the regulations regarding the Swampbuster program. EPA recommended that issues be addressed, where possible, through the development of a formal interagency agreement between EPA, NRCS, the Corps of Engineers and the US Fish and Wildlife Service. EPA also recommended that the final rule clarify the Swampbuster status of priorconverted cropland when wetland characteristics return as a result of a lack of maintenance of the land or other circumstances beyond the control of the property owner. EPA raised concerns over the adequacy of the Environmental Assessment (EA) and recommended that the EA be revised prior to publication of the final rule.

ERP No. R-DOA-A99214-00, 7 CFR Part 1466—Environmental Quality Incentives Program—Commodity Credit Corporation.

Summary: EPA supported the proposed approach for designating priority areas, and recommended establishing a financial incentive program for states to develop priority areas that effectively direct funds to critical environmental resource problems, and that the Commodity Credit Corporation reject these proposals that do not meet the relevant criteria. EPA also recommended that in defining a "large confined livestock operation," the final rule should emphasize that assistance is meant for

family farmers and ranchers and that a specific level for defining large operations be established, allowing for exceptions based upon ability to pay and on maximizing environmental benefits per dollar.

Dated: November 25, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–30496 Filed 11–27–96; 8:45 am]

## [ER-FRL-5475-3]

## **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed November 18, 1996 Through November 22, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960542, Final EIS, FHW, MO, MO–13 Highway Improvement, Existing MO–13 to MO–10 just south of Richmond to US 24 just south of Lexington, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and US Coast Guard Bridge Permit Issuance, Ray and Lafayette Counties, MO, Due: December 30, 1996, Contact: Donald Neumann (573) 636–7104.

EIS No. 960543, Draft Supplement, DOE, NM, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase, Updated Information, Disposal of Transuranic Waste, Carlsbad, NM, Due: January 28, 1997, Contact: Harold Johnson (505) 234– 7349.

EIS No. 960544, Final EIS, DOI, UT, Wasatch County Water Efficiency Project and Daniel Replacement Pipeline Project, Implementation, Wasatch County, UT, Due: December 30, 1996, Contact: Karen Ricks (801) 226–7126.

EIS No. 960545, Final EIS, DOE, CT, S1C Prototype Reactor Plant Disposal, Windsor Site Located at the Knolls Atom Power Laboratory, CT, Due: December 30, 1996, Contact: Christopher G. Overton (860) 687– 5610

Dated: November 25, 1996

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–30497 Filed 11–27–96; 8:45 am]

## [FRL-5656-9]

Proposed Cost Recovery Settlement Under Section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as Amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1), Pipe and Piling Superfund Site, Omaha and Avoca, Nebraska

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed cost recovery settlement under Section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1), Pipe and Piling Superfund Site, Omaha and Avoca, Nebraska.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to enter into a cost recovery administrative settlement to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1). This settlement is intended to resolve the liability of Pipe and Piling Supplies (U.S.A.) Ltd. (Pipe & Piling) for the response costs incurred by the EPA in overseeing a removal action conducted by Pipe & Piling at Pipe and Piling Superfund Site, Omaha and Avoca, Nebraska. The proposed settlement consent order was signed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on October 29, 1996. Because EPA's total response costs did not exceed \$500,000, the Attorney General's concurrence is not required for this settlement.

**DATES:** Written comments must be provided on or before December 30, 1996

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Daniel J. Shiel, Office of Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and should refer to: In the matter of Pipe and Piling Supplies (U.S.A.) Ltd., EPA Docket No. VII–96–F–0031.

The proposed administrative settlement may be examined in person at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. To request a copy by mail please refer to the matter name and docket number set forth above and enclose a check in the amount of \$3.75 (25 cents per page for reproduction costs), payable to the United States Environmental Protection Agency.